### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

### **DIVISION TWO**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

E031121

v. (Super.Ct.No. FMB004710)

NANCY CAROLYN DILLON,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Bert L. Swift and John M. Pacheco, Judges. Affirmed.

Randall B. Bookout, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant was charged by way of a felony complaint on August 17, 2001, with having possessed methamphetamine while armed with a loaded and operable firearm, a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11370.1, subdivision (a); with possessing methamphetamine, a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a); and with being a felon in possession of a firearm, a violation of Penal Code section 12021,

subdivision (a). On October 17, 2001, defendant, represented by counsel and pursuant to a plea bargain, pled guilty to the Health and Safety Code section 11370.1, subdivision (a) charge. The other charges were dismissed, and defendant received an initial grant of probation with terms and conditions, among which was a 180-day county jail commitment.

Defendant appealed, and upon her request this court appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493] setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and potential arguable issues and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered the defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief which she has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

		<u>RICHLI</u>	
We concur:			Acting P.J.
WARD	J.		
GAUT			